LIFE INSURANCE CONSUMER ADVOCACY CENTER 25A Crescent Drive, No.415 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523; 415-305-7117 lifeinsuranceconsumeradvocacycenter.org

August 9, 2021

Honorable Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye And Associate Justices California Supreme Court 350 McAllister Street, Room 1295 San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Williams v. National Western Life Insurance Company; Case No. S269978 Amicus Letter in Support of Petition for Review

Dear Chief Justice Cantil-Sakauye and Associate Justices

I am the Executive Director of the Life Insurance Consumer Advocacy Center ("LICAC"), a non-profit social welfare organization based in California and focused primarily on the protection of California consumers. I write to express LICAC's support for the Petition for Review in the above-captioned action. LICAC is not a party to this case. It has no direct or indirect financial interest in the case and is not being paid to submit this amicus letter.

LICAC is a relatively new organization, having been formed in 2020 with a mission 1) to alert the public, including consumers and policymakers, about the potential risks of certain types of life insurance products, especially those that are sold as investments, and 2) to advocate for reasonable and essential consumer protections for these types of products. LICAC brings a balanced perspective to consumer protection problems in the life insurance industry. Its five-member board of directors includes one current attorney and one former attorney with a combined 80 years of experience representing insurance policyholders, as well as three financial professionals with a combined 125 years of experience working for life insurance companies or firms providing advice regarding life insurance products.

Petitioner and other amici have adequately addressed why review should be granted to clarify California law as it relates to 1) the duties of honesty, good faith, and fair dealing owed to seniors by insurance companies selling annuities under Insurance Code Section 785; and 2) the duty of care owed by insurance companies

to all annuity purchasers under Insurance Code Sections 10509.910 – 10509.918, and LICAC joins in the request for review on these grounds. LICAC wishes to elaborate on a third reason why review should be granted: the need to make clear that under California law, an independent life insurance agent appointed by multiple insurance companies is the agent of the insurer (even though the agent may also be the agent of the insured).

The court below relied on *Eddy v. Sharp* (1988) 199 Cal. App. 3d 858 and *Mercury Ins. Co. v. Pearson* (2008) 169 Cal. App. 4th 1064 to rule that National Western Life could not owe a duty of care to Williams because the agent, Pantaleoni, was an independent agent appointed by multiple insurers. Slip Op. at 29 (*quoting Eddy*, at 865: "If an insurance agent is the agent for several companies and selects the company with which to place the insurance or insures with one of them according to directions the insurance agent is the agent of the insured.")

The court rejected *Loehr v. Great Republic Ins. Co.*, 226 Cal. App. 3d 727, 734, which had correctly limited *Eddy* to its holding that the agent was an agent of the insureds and thus owed a duty of care to the insureds. The *Loehr* court correctly reasoned that because an insurance agent may be the agent of both the insurer and the insured, *Eddy* says nothing about whether an independent agent is an agent of the insurer. *Id. Mercury* acknowledged the possibility of a dual agency but nevertheless relied on *Eddy* to hold that the agent acted for the insured in placing the policy, and *Williams* construed *Mercury* as holding that "any fraud by an independent agent is committed in the agent's capacity as an agent for the insured." Slip Op. at 29.

As pointed out in the Petition (at 39), Williams and Mercury conflict not just with Loehr but also with O'Riordan v. Federal Kemper Life Assurance Co. (2005) 36 Cal 4th 281, 288, which held that a life insurance agent was the agent of the insurer with respect to information provided to the agent by the insured regarding her cigarette smoking. Some elaboration is instructive because O'Riordan relied on Insurance Code Section 1704.5, which states:

Except as provided in subdivision (b), a licensed life agent may present a proposal for insurance to a prospective policyholder on behalf of a life insurer for which the life agent is not specifically appointed, and may also transmit an application for insurance to that insurer. If a policy of insurance is issued pursuant to that application, the insurer is considered to have authorized the agent to act on its behalf, and the insurer is responsible for all actions of the

agent that relate to the application and policy as if the agent had been duly appointed.

(Emp. added).

Read together, as they must be, Sections 1704 and 1704.5 make clear that an insurer that issues a life insurance policy (including an annuity)¹ is responsible for all actions of its agent that relate to the application and policy regardless of whether the agent was appointed before or upon issuance of the policy or whether the agent is also appointed by other insurers.

This Court should grant review to end the confusion introduced into California law by the holdings in *Williams* and *Mercury* (and by the loose language in *Eddy*) and to clarify that under California law, a life insurer owes a duty of care to its insured with respect to the actions of its agent even if the agent holds appointments by multiple insurers. Such a rule is essential to protect consumers. Unlike insurance companies, consumers generally have no relationship with the agent, no knowledge of the insurance business, and no ability to supervise agents, including by incentivizing good behavior by agents and preventing or deterring bad behavior by agents.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian P. Brosnahan

Executive Director

Life Insurance Consumer Advocacy Center

Prin & Brownale 2

Annuities fall within life insurance for agent licensing purposes. Cal Ins. Code ¶¶ 32 & 1626. The *Williams* court further erred in failing to observe the distinction between life insurance and other forms of insurance, as it incorrectly relied on Insurance Code Section 33 to find that Pantaleoni was an insurance broker (and thus the agent of the insured). Slip Op. at 29. Section 33 is explicitly limited to "insurance other than life." California does not license "brokers" for the sale of life insurance. The broker function is instead covered by a "life and disability insurance analyst." See Ins. Code ¶¶32.5 & 1831(d).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, RAE T. BARZONA, declare as follows:

Facsimile: (619) 234-1011

At the time of service, I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this case. My business address is 3755 Avocado Boulevard, #105, La Mesa, California 91941-7301and my electronic service address is rbarzona@majorfox.com.

On August 10, 2021, I served the Amicus Letter from Life Insurance Consumer Advocacy Center, in Support of Petition for Review of *Williams v. National Western Life Insurance Company* (S269978).

I electronically served the document through TrueFiling, pursuant to Supreme Court Rules Regarding Electronic Service, Rule 9, as follows:

Frank J. Fox (139147)

Mary A. Lehman (157259)

Majors & Fox LLP

Law Offices of Mary A. Lehman

3755 Avocado Boulevard, #105

La Mesa, CA 91941-7301

Telephone: (619) 234-1000

Mary A. Lehman (157259)

Law Offices of Mary A. Lehman

941 Orange Ave., Ste. 531

Coronado, CA 92118-2609

Telephone: 1-888-843-3777

Email: fjfox@majorfox.com Email: mary@lehmanappeals.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Respondent/Cross-Appellant/Petitioner Barney Thomas Williams

Spencer Persson, Esq. Edward F. Donohue, Esq. Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Peter L. Isola, Esq. 865 S. Figueroa St., 24th Flr. Peter Felsenfeld, Esq. Los Angeles, CA 90017 Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP Telephone: (213) 633-6800 One California St., 18th Flr. Facsimile: (213) 633-6899 San Francisco, CA 94111 Electronic Service Address: Telephone: (415) 362-6000 spencerpersson@dwt.com Facsimile: (415) 834-9070 Electronic Service Addresses: edonohue@hinshawlaw.com;

> pisola@hinshawlaw.com; pfelsenfeld@hinshawlaw.com

Facsimile: 1-888-318-9839

Attorneys for Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Respondent/Respondent
National Western Life Insurance Company

I also served this document by United States Mail on the following:

Butte County Superior Court
Attention: Hon. Tamara L. Mosbarger
1775 Concord Avenue
Chico, California 95928
Butte County Superior Court
Case No. 17CV03462

Clerk of Court, Court of Appeal Third Appellate District 914 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California 95814 Third Appellate District Case No. C090436

I enclosed a copy of the document in a sealed, addressed package and placed the package for collection and mailing. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collecting and processing items for mailing. On the same day that item is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed package, with postage fully prepaid, at San Diego, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2021, at San Diego, California.

/s/Rae T. Barzona RAE T. BARZONA

- At the time of service, I was at least 18 years of age.
 My email address used to e-serve: fjfox@majorfox.com
 I served a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of documents served:

LETTER: LICAC_Ltr

Person Served	Service Address	Туре	Service Date	
Joel Bryant	jbryant@gbflawyers.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Green, Bryant & French, LLP		a203ef78-94e3-4ba2-8a0a- bfb5a2149c15		
Rae Barzona	rbarzona@majorfox.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Majors & Fox		834ecc59-2040-498b-918d- a0d972a9836a		
Frank Fox	fjfox@majorfox.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Majors & Fox LLP		01223323-284e-4844-b5ee- aee1fc70d21d		
Jackson Minasian	jminasian@minasianlaw.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Minasian, Meith, Soares,	Alinasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP a8064		4898-81a8-401b-b219- 67a650fdd1e4	
Zach Newman	znewman@laaconline.org	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
The Legal Aid Association of California		5b3ad2f9-c3a8-4a50-8a4d- 7e741112a4cf		
Melinda Steuer	mjslegalassistant@californiainvestoradvocate.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
The Law Offices of Melinda Jane Steuer		b5aa8e97-fcc1-488b-b7f2- badd561fe41a		
Mary Lehman	mary@lehmanappeals.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Law Offices of Mary A. Lehman		3557ce42-4d86-41aa-bb2c- 3d3a79766391		
David Arbogast	david@arbogastlaw.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Arbogast Law		6ec90e2f-9d4a-46ec-b785- 43c29100d227		
Edward Donohue	edonohue@hinshawlaw.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Hinshaw & Culbertson LL	.P	65d2f9d0-692a-4e4b-a758- 4a5644fc5e78		
Peter Isola	pisola@hinshawlaw.com	e-Serve	08-10-2021 08:19:37 AM	
Hinshaw & Culbertson LL	inshaw & Culbertson LLP		27571f9b-d2e2-491c-877d- 9aa753b77aaa	
			08-10-2021	

Ingrid M. Evans	ingrid@evanslaw.com	e-Serv	ve	08:19:37 AM	
Evans Law Firm, Inc.			fc20b665-3e27-425d-9e23-		
				09bce13ce4ba	
Patrick T Nakao	patnakao@gmail.com	e-Sen	e-Serve	08-10-2021	
		C Sci v	, C	08:19:37 AM	
Law Office of Patrick T. Nakao			c823ce13-7b09-4e3b-997f-		
			9c7bb711cbaf		
Spencer Persson	spencerpersson@dwt.com	0 500	e-Serve	08-10-2021	
		e-serv		08:19:37 AM	
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP			c5bf5475-6e82-41a5-a0ce- e2a6dfdcf6a4		
Peter Felsenfeld	pfelsenfeld@hinshawlaw.com	0 500	e-Serve	08-10-2021	
		e-serv		08:19:37 AM	
Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP			a7f690aa-7ee2-49fb-921f-		
			06a86007ff42		
Richard Pearl	rpearl@interx.net	0 500	e-Serve	08-10-2021	
		e-serv		08:19:37 AM	
Law Offices of Richard M. Pearl			fd38e31f-1ab0-4209-8524- b8aabb936586		
Brian Brosnahan	bbrosnahan99@gmail.com	0 50m	e-Serve	08-10-2021	
		e-serv		08:19:37 AM	
			ff0275e4-46c2-49a8-9b43-		
			89630401b664		

TrueFiling created, submitted and signed this proof of service on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling.

The contents of this proof of service are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

08-10-2021
Date
/s/Rae Barzona
Signature
Fox, Frank (139147)
Last Name, First Name (Attorney Number)
Majors & Fox
Firm Name